

Although a plaintiff proceeding IFP is entitled to service by the United States Marshal and is entitled to rely upon the Marshal to effect service, the plaintiff must provide sufficient information

to effect service. Danik v. Hous. Auth. of Baltimore City, 396 F. App'x 15, 16 (4th Cir. 2010). Failure to provide such information so that the Marshal can effect sufficient service can result in a dismissal of the action. See id. Pursuant to Rule 4(m), Plaintiff has until Tuesday, May 3, 2016, to serve her Complaint on Defendant and to provide this Court with proof of service. Plaintiff is hereby notified and warned that if she does not perfect service of her Complaint on Defendant by **May 3, 2016**, her case will be **dismissed** without prejudice and without further notice. If Plaintiff wishes to rely again upon the United States Marshal to effect service, she must submit a revised summons to the Clerk of Court. Failure to do so, or failure to submit the revised summons in a timely manner so as to provide the Marshal with sufficient time to effect service before May 3, 2016, will result in this case being **dismissed** without prejudice and without further notice.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff shall perfect service of her Complaint on Defendant and provide this Court with proof of service by **May 3, 2016. FAILURE TO SERVE DEFENDANT AND PROVIDE THE COURT WITH PROOF OF SERVICE BY THAT TIME WILL RESULT IN DISMISSAL OF ALL CLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANT WITHOUT FURTHER NOTICE.**
2. If Plaintiff wishes to rely again upon the United States Marshal to effect service, she must submit a revised summons to the Clerk of Court in a timely manner so as to provide the Marshal with sufficient time to effect service before May 3, 2016. **FAILURE TO DO SO WILL RESULT IN THIS CASE BEING DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE AND WITHOUT FURTHER NOTICE.**

Signed: March 16, 2016



Robert J. Conrad, Jr.
United States District Judge

